

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/497,493 02/04/2000		02/04/2000	Mark Lucente	10244-004	7556	
20582	7590	02/13/2004		EXAM	INER	
JONES DAY				ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A		
51 Louisiana						
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-2113		20001-2113		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		The second	•	2654	13	
• •				DATE MAILED: 02/13/200-	DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/497,493	LUCENTE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Angela A. Armstrong	2654
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/2: This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-10 and 12-21 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-10 and 12-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 21, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2-10 and 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Hemphill et al, "Speech Aware Multimedia" in view of Fries et al (US Patent No. 6,513,031).
- 3. As per claims 10 and 20, Hemphill et al. discloses a method comprising;
 receiving a first statement in a natural language from a user, (see page 77, left column,
 last paragraph, "How's the whether look today?")

generating first information based on a first statement, (see page 77, Fig. 3, Table 1, step 1 and right column, 5th full paragraph "... the user utters 'How's the weather look today?");

Art Unit: 2654

storing context information of at least one of the first statement and the first information, (see page 77, left column, first full paragraph, "current visible page defines a context");

optionally generating a question to be presented to the user in the natural language based on the context information, (see page 77, Fig. 3, "Speak the city-name ..." and page 76, right column, "SAM . . . could detect the ambiguity and offer a choice to the user . . . ");

receiving a second statement in the natural language from the user, (see page 77, Table 1 step 4 and left column, first full paragraph, "the user decides to utter "Chicago"");

generating second information based on a second statement and the information, (see page 77, Table 1 step 4 and left column, first full paragraph, "the user decides to utter "Chicago"; Table step 6).

Hemphill does not specifically teach providing a superlative of the first information based on the context information, wherein the first information includes a plurality of items that can be compared with each other.

Fries teaches a system for aiding a user in searching a computer environment which includes retrieving a search query from the user and provides for fine tuning of a search query via a disambiguation screen in which a user clarifies a word in the original query so as to restrict the search to specific time frames and/or conditions (col. 25, line 40 continuing to col. 26, line 48; col. 27, line 44 continuing to col. 28, line 6). Fries teaches providing for the modification of the search query to remove ambiguities creates a query that better reflects the user's searching intention (col. 28, lines 1-6).

Art Unit: 2654

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Hemphill to provide for a superlative of information to compare information, as taught by Fries, for the purpose of creating a query that better reflects the user's searching intention, as suggested by Fries, so as to provide the correct information the user desired and thereby improving the performance of the search engine.

As per claim 2, Hemphill et al. disclose a method comprising:

incorporating content information generated based on the second information into output to a user, (see Table step 6).

As per claim 3, Hemphill et al. disclose a method comprising:

dynamically generating the web page based on the content information, (see page 77, right column); querying a database using the first query to thereby generate the first information, (see page 77, right column).

As per claims 4-5, Hemphill et al. disclose a method comprising;

generating grammatical data for the first statement, see page 77, right column, "the browser observes the grammar link and ask the web for the grammar . . . ");

generating one or more parsing tokens based on the grammatical data, (see page 77, right column, first full paragraph);

storing the parsing tokens as pant of the context information, (see page 77, right column, first full paragraph).

As per claims 6-8, Hemphill et al. disclose a method comprising:

Identifying linguistic structures in the second statement based on the context information, (see page 77, table 1, step 5)

Art Unit: 2654

As per claim 9, Hemphill et al. disclose a method comprising:

storing and updating the context information each time a new statement in the natural language is received, (see page 75, section "speakable links").

As per claims 12-21, claims 12-21 are similar in scope and content to claims 2-10 rejected above, and therefore claims 12-21 are rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-10 and 12-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2654

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 703-308-6258.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (703) 305-9645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela A. Armstrong Examiner Art Unit 2654

Angele Amstrong

Page 6

AAA

February 6, 2004